arXiv:1703.00338v1 [math.RA] 1 Mar 2017

Representing Lie algebras using approximations with nilpotent ideals

Wolfgang Alexander Moens*

2015

Abstract

We prove a refinement of Ado's theorem for Lie algebras over an algebraically-closed field of characteristic zero. We first define what it means for a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ to be approximated with a nilpotent ideal, and we then use such an approximation to construct a faithful representation of $\mathfrak{g}$. The better the approximation, the smaller the degree of the representation will be. We obtain, in particular, explicit and combinatorial upper bounds for the minimal degree of a faithful $\mathfrak{g}$-representation. The proofs use the universal enveloping algebra of Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt and the almost-algebraic hulls of Auslander and Brezin.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Preliminaries **3**
2.1 The nil-defect of a Lie algebra 3
2.2 Almost-algebraic Lie algebras 4

3 Quotients of the universal enveloping algebra **4**
3.1 Filtrations 5
3.2 Notation 5
3.3 From filtrations to weights and submodules 5
3.4 Properties of the quotient module 7

4 Proof of the main results **8**

5 Application: representations of graded Lie algebras **8**

1 Introduction

The classical theorem of Ado and Iwasawa states that every finite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ over a field $\mathbb{F}$ admits a finite-dimensional, faithful representation. That is: there exists a natural number n and a homomorphism $\varphi : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F})$ of Lie algebras with $\ker(\varphi) = \{0\}$.

*This research was supported by the Austrian Science Foundation FWF (Grant J3371-N25).

But it is in general quite difficult to determine whether a given Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ admits a faithful representation of a given degree n.

The problem is easily seen to be equivalent to the computation of the minimal degree $\mu(\mathfrak{g})$ of a faithful representation of $\mathfrak{g}$. The study of this invariant was crucial in finding (filiform) counter-examples to a conjecture by Milnor on the existence of affine structures on manifolds, [19], [4] and [9]. Lower and upper bounds for $\mu(\mathfrak{g})$ in terms of other natural invariants of $\mathfrak{g}$ were given for various families of Lie algebras by Benoist, Birkhoff, Burde, de Graaf, Eick, Grunewald and the author, [5], [6], [8], [10], [11], and [13]. This paper aims to refine the current upper bounds.

We recall two major techniques that have been used historically to construct faithful representations. A nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ is known to act faithfully on its universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n})$, and Birkhoff observed that the subspace S of all sufficiently large elements (made precise in the next sections), yields a faithful quotient module $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n})/S$ of dimension $\frac{d^{c+1}-1}{d-1}$, where d is the dimension of $\mathfrak{n}$ and c is its class. Mal'cev later observed that Ado's theorem is a natural consequence of the existence of almost-algebraic hulls (also called splittings) and Birkhoff's construction. A constructive approach to Mal'cev's theorem by Neretin made use of elementary expansions and it allowed Burde and the author to find explicit upper bounds for $\mu(\mathfrak{g})$ for all finite-dimensional complex Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$: $\mu(\mathfrak{g}) = O(2^d)$.

Several examples in the literature then suggested that Lie algebras which have an abelian ideal of small codimension in the solvable radical also have a small, faithful representation (see for example [10] and propositions 2.12, 2.15, 4.5 and remark 4.8 of [11]). This was made precise and proven by Burde and the author to obtain bounds of the form $\mu(\mathfrak{n}) = O(d^{\gamma+1})$, where $\mathfrak{n}$ is a d-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra and γ is the minimal codimension of an abelian ideal.

We will prove that these results can be generalised to nilpotent ideals of arbitrary class:

Theorem 1.0.1. *Consider a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ over an algebraically-closed field of characteristic zero. Let d be its dimension, let r be the dimension of the solvable radical and let n be the dimension of the nilradical. Suppose $\mathfrak{g}$ has a nilpotent ideal of class ε_1 and codimension ε_2 in* $\mathrm{rad}(\mathfrak{g})$. *Then*

$$\mu(\mathfrak{g}) \leq d - n + \binom{r+\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_1} \cdot \binom{r+\varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_2}.$$

We note that de Graaf's theorem, [13], corresponds with the special case where the Lie algebra is itself nilpotent and the ideal is chosen to be the whole Lie algebra: $d := n$, $\varepsilon_1 := c(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\varepsilon_2 := 0$. We define the nil-defect $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ to be the minimal value $\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$ as $\mathfrak{h}$ runs over all nilpotent ideals of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Corollary 1.0.2. *Consider a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ over an algebraically-closed field of characteristic zero. Let d be its dimension and let ε be its nil-defect. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ has a faithful representation of degree*

$$P_\varepsilon(d) := d + \frac{(d+\varepsilon)\cdots(d+1)}{\lfloor \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \rfloor ! \cdot \lceil \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \rceil !}.$$

We can also apply the construction to graded Lie algebras; there exists a function $E : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds.

Corollary 1.0.3. *Consider a Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{g} = \oplus_{a\in A}\mathfrak{g}_a$ *graded by an abelian, finitely-generated, torsion-free group* $(A,+)$. *Let* $\sigma := |\{a \in A | \mathfrak{g}_a \neq \{0\}\}|$ *be the cardinality of the support and let* $\delta := \dim(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ *be the dimension of the homogeneous component corresponding with the neutral element. Then* $\mathfrak{g}$ *admits a faithful representation of degree* $P_{E(\sigma,\delta)}(d)$.

Convention: we will only consider finite-dimensional Lie algebras over an algebraically-closed field of characteristic zero.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we introduce some of the concepts used in our construction of faithful representations. We first define the nil-defect $\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})$ of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. We then show that $\mathfrak{g}$ can (almost) be embedded into an almost-algebraic Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ for which the nil-defect $\varepsilon(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is at most $\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})$.

2.1 The nil-defect of a Lie algebra

The following definition is justified by the existence of a (unique) solvable radical, that is: the theorem of Levi-Malcev.

Definition 2.1.1 (Nil-defect)**.** Let $\mathfrak{r}$ be a solvable Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{n}$ be a nilpotent ideal of $\mathfrak{r}$. The nil-defect $\varepsilon(\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{n})$ of $\mathfrak{n}$ in $\mathfrak{r}$ is $\dim(\mathfrak{r}/\mathfrak{n}) + c(\mathfrak{n})$. The nil-defect $\varepsilon(\mathfrak{r})$ of $\mathfrak{r}$ is

$$\min_{\mathfrak{n}}\{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{n})\},$$

where $\mathfrak{n}$ runs over all nilpotent ideals of $\mathfrak{r}$. The nil-defect of an arbitrary Lie algebra is the nil-defect of its solvable radical.

Let us consider a few special cases.

Example 2.1.2. The nil-defect of a semisimple Lie algebra is 0. The nil-defect of a nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ is bounded by the nilpotency class: $\varepsilon(\mathfrak{n}) \leq \varepsilon(\mathfrak{n},\mathfrak{n}) = c(\mathfrak{n})$. In particular: the family of all Lie algebras of nil-defect at most ε contains the family of all nilpotent Lie algebras of class at most ε.

We note that Lie algebras of a given nil-defect can have arbitrarily high nilpotency class.

Example 2.1.3. A standard filiform Lie algebra has a nil-defect of 2, while its class can be chosen arbitrarily high. More generally: for filiform Lie algebras $\mathfrak{f}$, we have $\varepsilon(\mathfrak{f}) \leq 2\sqrt{\dim(\mathfrak{f})} + 1$. This is a direct consequence of the fact that any ideal of $\mathfrak{f}$ with codimension $a > 1$ is nilpotent of class at most $\lceil (c(\mathfrak{f}) + 1)/a \rceil - 1$, cf. [22].

The following result, not strictly necessary for the rest of the paper, is due to B. Kostant and allows us to approximate solvable Lie algebras with nilpotent *subalgebras*, rather than ideals (personal communication with G. Glauberman and N. Wallach; see for example [16] and [2]. See also [7].)

Theorem 2.1.4. *Consider a finite-dimensional complex,* solvable *Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{r}$ *and a nilpotent subalgebra* $\mathfrak{n}$. *Then* $\mathfrak{r}$ *has an ideal* $\mathfrak{h}$ *of class at most* $c(\mathfrak{n})$ *and dimension equal to* $\dim(\mathfrak{n})$. *In particular:*

$$\varepsilon(\mathfrak{r}) = \min_{\mathfrak{m}}\{c(\mathfrak{m}) + \mathrm{codim}_{\mathfrak{r}}(\mathfrak{m})\},$$

where $\mathfrak{m}$ *runs over the nilpotent subalgebras of* $\mathfrak{r}$.

2.2 Almost-algebraic Lie algebras

Definition 2.2.1 (Almost-algebraic)**.** A Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is almost-algebraic if it admits a decomposition of the form $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p} \ltimes \mathfrak{m}$, where $\mathfrak{m}$ is the nilradical of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ that acts fully reducibly on $\mathfrak{g}$ (by the adjoint representation).

A theorem by Mal'cev, later generalized by Auslander and Brezin, states that every finite-dimensional Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero can be embedded into an almost-algebraic Lie algebra, and that there is a minimal such algebra: the *almost-algebraic hull*, [18], [3], [21]. Neretin later gave an explicit construction, as a succession of finitely many elementary expansions, of such an embedding, [20]. This construction was used by Burde and the author to obtain explicit upper bounds for $\mu(\mathfrak{g})$, [11]. Auslander and Brezin observed that ideals are compatible with elementary expansions:

Lemma 2.2.2. *Let $\iota_1 : \mathfrak{g}_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$ be an elementary expansion of $\mathfrak{g}_1$. Then every ideal $\mathfrak{i}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_1$ maps onto an ideal $\iota_1(\mathfrak{i})$ of $\mathfrak{g}_2$.*

In particular: if $(\iota_j : \mathfrak{g}_j \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{j+1})_{1 \leq j \leq u}$ is a finite sequence of elementary expansions, and $i =: \iota_u \circ \iota_{u-1} \circ \cdots \circ \iota_1$, then every ideal $\mathfrak{i}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_1$ maps onto an ideal $\iota(\mathfrak{i})$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{u+1}$. We may thus combine the lemma with proposition 4.1 of [11] to obtain the following theorem.

Proposition 2.2.3 (Good embedding)**.** *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra over the complex numbers. Let $\mathfrak{r}$ be its solvable radical and let $\mathfrak{n}$ be its nilradical. Then there exists an embedding $\iota : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ into the Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that:*

1. *(Decomposition): $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ decomposes as $\mathfrak{p} \ltimes \mathfrak{m}$, where $\mathfrak{m}$ is nilpotent and $\mathfrak{p}$ acts fully reducibly on $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$,*
2. *(Controll of dimensions): $\dim(\mathfrak{m}) = \dim(\mathfrak{r})$ and $\dim(\mathfrak{p}) = \dim(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n})$,*
3. *(Preservation of ideals): if $\mathfrak{h}$ is a nilpotent ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$, then $\iota(\mathfrak{h})$ is a nilpotent ideal of $\mathfrak{p} \ltimes \mathfrak{m}$ contained in $\mathfrak{m}$.*

Proof. Points (1) and (2) can be obtained by expanding $\dim(\mathfrak{r}/\mathfrak{n})$ times with respect to the nilradical, cf. 4.1 of [11]. Point (3) follows from the lemma. □

Remark 2.2.4. In the above decomposition $\mathfrak{p} \ltimes \mathfrak{m}$, the nilpotent ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ need not be the whole nilradical. However, if we let $\mathfrak{p}_0$ be the kernel of the action of $\mathfrak{p}$ on $\mathfrak{m}$, then $\mathfrak{p} \ltimes \mathfrak{m} \cong \mathfrak{p}_0 \oplus (\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}_0 \ltimes \mathfrak{m})$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ will be the nilradical of the almost-algebraic algebra $(\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}_0 \ltimes \mathfrak{m})$.

In order to construct faithful representations of $\mathfrak{g}$ it therefore suffices to construct (sufficiently small) faithful representations of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$.

3 Quotients of the universal enveloping algebra

In this section we will construct faithful representations of almost-algebraic Lie algebras $\mathfrak{p} \ltimes \mathfrak{m}$. In order to do this we first introduce weight functions $\omega : \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ on the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m})$ of the nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{m}$. We shall then see that the elements of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m})$ that are sufficiently large with respect to such a weight function form a $(\mathfrak{p} \ltimes \mathfrak{m})$-submodule $\mathcal{S}'$ of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m})$. A good choice of weight functions will allow us to construct a quotient $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m})/\mathcal{S}$ that is faithful and finite-dimensional.

3.1 Filtrations

In the following sections we will be working with pairs of filtrations of a given nilpotent Lie algebra. It will be convenient to have a basis that is compatible with those filtrations.

Definition 3.1.1. A *filtration* of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is a flag $(\mathfrak{g}(t))_{t\in\mathbb{N}}$ of subspaces of $\mathfrak{g}$ of the form, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(0) \supseteq \mathfrak{g}(1) \supseteq \mathfrak{g}(2) \supseteq \cdots$ such that for all $\mathfrak{g}(i), \mathfrak{g}(j)$ we have $[\mathfrak{g}(i), \mathfrak{g}(j)] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(i+j)$. It is a *positive* filtration if $\mathfrak{g}(0) = \mathfrak{g}(1)$.

Note that each element of a filtration is an ideal of the Lie algebra. We will consider the following example in the next paragraphs.

Example 3.1.2. Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be an ideal of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Then $\mathfrak{g}(0) := \mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{h}(1) := \mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{g}(i) := \mathfrak{h}_i := [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}_{i-1}]$ for $i \geq 2$ defines a filtration of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let us call this the $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$-filtration. The $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$-filtration is clearly positive if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}$ is nilpotent.

Definition 3.1.3. Let V be a vector space and consider a flag $(V_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of V. We say that a basis $\mathcal{B}$ of V is *weakly adapted* to the flag, iff for each V_j there exists a subset $\mathcal{B}_j$ of $\mathcal{B}$ that is a basis of V_j.

Some elementary observations in linear algebra lead to the following.

Lemma 3.1.4. *Consider a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and a pair of $\mathfrak{g}$-filtrations. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ has a basis that is weakly adapted to both filtrations.*

The corresponding statement for triples of filtrations fails trivially.

3.2 Notation

Let us fix some notation. We let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a fixed almost-algebraic Lie algebra with corresponding decomposition $\mathfrak{p} \ltimes \mathfrak{m}$ and a nilpotent ideal $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, necessarily contained in $\mathfrak{m}$. Let us also fix the following pair of filtrations of $\mathfrak{m}$: the $(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m})$-filtration and the $(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{h})$-filtration of $\mathfrak{m}$. The lemma above then allows us to choose a basis for $\mathfrak{m}$ that is weakly adapted to both filtrations. Let $\{x_1, \ldots, x_d\}$ be such a basis.

The Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem states that the standard (non-commutative, ordered) monomials in the x_i form a basis for the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m})$ of $\mathfrak{m}$. We also recall that $\mathfrak{p} \ltimes \mathfrak{m}$ acts naturally on $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m})$: $\mathfrak{p}$ acts by derivations and $\mathfrak{m}$ acts by left multiplication. To be precise:

$$\delta * (x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_t}) := \sum_{1\leq j\leq t} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_{j-1}} \cdot [\delta, x_{i_j}] \cdot x_{i_{j+1}} \cdots x_{i_t}$$

and $x * (x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_t}) := x \cdot x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_t}$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{m}$, $\delta \in \mathfrak{p}$, and monomials $x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_t}$. The $\mathfrak{p} \ltimes \mathfrak{m}$-module $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m})$ is faithful but infinite-dimensional.

3.3 From filtrations to weights and submodules

Let us first define weight functions on $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m})$ and then show how they can be constructed from positive filtrations on $\mathfrak{m}$. Set $\overline{\mathbb{N}} := \mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\}$.

Definition 3.3.1. A map $\omega : \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m}) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ is a *weight* on $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m})$ iff it satisfies the following conditions:

1. $\omega(X) = +\infty \Leftrightarrow X = 0$

2. $\omega(X+Y) \geq \min\{\omega(X), \omega(Y)\}$

for all X and Y in $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m})$. The weight is *compatible with the action* of $\mathfrak{p} \ltimes \mathfrak{m}$ on $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m})$ iff in addition the following conditions hold:

3. $\omega(x * X) \geq \omega(x) + \omega(X)$
4. $\omega(\delta * X) \geq \omega(X)$

for all X, Y in $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m})$, x in $\mathfrak{m}$ and δ in $\mathfrak{p}$.

We may then define subsets all elements of which are sufficiently large.

Definition 3.3.2. Let $\omega : \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m}) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ be a map and let k be a natural number. Then we define the set

$$\mathcal{U}^k(\mathfrak{m}, \omega) := \{X \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m}) | \omega(X) \geq k\}.$$

Let us consider a filtration of $\mathfrak{g}$ and show how it can be used to define a weight on $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m})$. Let $\mathfrak{m}(0) \supseteq \mathfrak{m}(1) \supseteq \mathfrak{m}(2) \supseteq \cdots$ be the filtration. For $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ we define

$$\omega(x) := \sup\{t \in \overline{\mathbb{N}} | x \in \mathfrak{m}(t)\}.$$

We obtain a map $\omega : \mathfrak{m} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{N}}$. We may now extend ω to all of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m})$ as follows. For a standard monomial $X^\alpha := x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_d^{\alpha_d}$, with $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ we define

$$\omega(X^\alpha) := \sum_{1 \leq j \leq d} \alpha_j \cdot \omega(x_j),$$

and for a non-redundant linear combination $\sum_j \varphi_j X^{\alpha_j}$ of standard monomials X^{α_j} with linear coefficients φ_j we set

$$\omega(\sum_j \varphi_j X^{\alpha_j}) := \min_j \{\omega(X^{\alpha_j})\}.$$

In particular, we may consider special cases:

Example 3.3.3. Suppose $\mathfrak{n}$ is a nilpotent ideal of $\mathfrak{m}$, for example $\mathfrak{m}$ itself or $\mathfrak{h}$. We then let $\omega_{(\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{n})}$ be the weight on $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m})$ obtained from the $(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n})$-filtration. We let λ be the weight on $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m})$ obtained from the trivial, positive filtration $\mathfrak{m} := \mathfrak{m}(0) := \mathfrak{m}(1)$ and $\mathfrak{m}(i) := \{0\}$ for $i \geq 2$.

This λ can be considered a length function (on the standard monomials).

Lemma 3.3.4. *If $\mathfrak{n}$ is a nilpotent ideal of $\mathfrak{p} \ltimes \mathfrak{m}$ contained in $\mathfrak{m}$, then $\omega_{(\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{n})}$ is a weight on $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m})$ that is compatible with the action of $\mathfrak{p} \ltimes \mathfrak{m}$.*

Proof. Property (1) holds since $\mathfrak{n}$ is nilpotent (and only if the ideal is nilpotent). Since $\mathcal{U}^k(\mathfrak{m}, \omega_{(\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{n})})$ is spanned by the standard monomials of degree at least k, we also have the second property. If x_i and x_j are basis vectors with $j < i$, then we have $\omega(x_i \cdot x_j) = \omega(x_j \cdot x_i + [x_i, x_j]) \geq \min(\omega(x_j \cdot x_i), \omega([x_i, x_j])) \geq \omega(x_i) + \omega(x_j)$, by using the definition of ω on standard monomials and the property of the grading on $\mathfrak{m}$. By using induction on the length of a standard monomials (and (2)), we then obtain property (3). Property (4) follows from (3) and the fact that δ stabilises the flag (since $\mathfrak{n}$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{p} \ltimes \mathfrak{m}$). □

We note that if a weight ω is compatible with the action of $\mathfrak{p} \ltimes \mathfrak{m}$, then each such subspace $\mathcal{U}^k(\mathfrak{m}, \omega)$ is a $(\mathfrak{p} \ltimes \mathfrak{m})$-submodule of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m})$. In particular: we conclude that for each k_1, k_2 in $\mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{m}, \omega_{(\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{m})}, k_1, \omega_{(\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{h})}, k_2) := \mathcal{U}^{k_1}(\mathfrak{m}, \omega_{(\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{m})}) + \mathcal{U}^{k_2}(\mathfrak{m}, \omega_{(\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{h})})$$

is a $(\mathfrak{p} \ltimes \mathfrak{m})$-stable subspace of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m})$ and we may consider the quotient module.

3.4 Properties of the quotient module

We now need to determine two things: the dimension of the quotient and for which choices the quotient will be faithful.

Proposition 3.4.1 (Faithful quotient)**.** *Suppose $\mathfrak{p}$ acts faithfully on $\mathfrak{m}$. If $k_1 > c(\mathfrak{m})$ and $k_2 > c(\mathfrak{h})$, then the quotient of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m})$ by $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{m}, \omega_{(\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{m})}, k_1, \omega_{(\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{h})}, k_2)$ is a faithful $(\mathfrak{p} \ltimes \mathfrak{m})$-module.*

Proof. Note that the submodule $\mathcal{S}$ is contained in the subspace $\Lambda_{\geq 2} := \mathcal{U}^2(\mathfrak{m}, \lambda)$ of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m})$ that is spanned by all standard monomials of length at least two. Now suppose that $(\delta, x) \in \mathfrak{p} \ltimes \mathfrak{m}$ maps $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m})$ into $\mathcal{S}$. Then $x = (\delta, x) * 1 = \delta(1) + x * 1 = 0 + x = x \in \mathcal{S} \subseteq \Lambda_{\geq 2}$. Note that $\mathfrak{m}$ is the vector space spanned by all the standard monomials of length one. We conclude that $x \in \Lambda_{\geq 2} \cap \mathfrak{m} = \{0\}$. Similarly, $\mathfrak{p}$ maps $\mathfrak{m}$ into $\Lambda_{\geq 2} \cap \mathfrak{m} = \{0\}$. Since $\mathfrak{p}$ is assumed to act faithfully on $\mathfrak{m}$, we have $(\delta, x) = (0, 0)$. □

We recall the following well-known result about Sylvester denumerants.

Lemma 3.4.2. *Consider a finite multiset $M = \{m_1, \ldots, m_p\}$ of positive integers and $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the number $\Delta(t; M)$ of M-partitions of t is bounded from above by $\binom{p+t-1}{t-1}$.*

In particular: the number of M-partitions of $0 \leq t \leq T$ is at most $\binom{p+T}{T}$. The proposition now suggests the choice $k_1 := c(\mathfrak{m}) + 1$ and $k_2 := c(\mathfrak{h}) + 1$. We then get:

Proposition 3.4.3 (Upper bound)**.** *The $(\mathfrak{p} \ltimes \mathfrak{m})$-module*

$$\mathcal{Q} := \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m})/\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{m}, \omega_{(\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{m})}, c(\mathfrak{m}) + 1, \omega_{(\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{h})}, c(\mathfrak{h}) + 1)$$

has dimension at most

$$\binom{\dim(\mathfrak{m}) + \dim(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{h})}{\dim(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{h})} \cdot \binom{\dim(\mathfrak{m}) + c(\mathfrak{h})}{c(\mathfrak{h})}.$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{S}$ is spanned by all standard monomials X satisfying $\omega_{(\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{m})}(X) \geq c(\mathfrak{m}) + 1$ or $\omega_{(\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{h})}(X) \geq c(\mathfrak{h}) + 1$, the dimension of $\mathcal{Q}$ is bounded from above by the number of standard monomials Y satisfying $\omega_{(\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{m})}(Y) \leq c(\mathfrak{m})$ and $\omega_{(\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{h})}(Y) \leq c(\mathfrak{h})$. The lemma above then gives the crude upper bound

$$\dim(\mathcal{Q}) \leq \binom{\dim(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{h}) + c(\mathfrak{m})}{c(\mathfrak{m})} \cdot \binom{\dim(\mathfrak{h}) + c(\mathfrak{h})}{c(\mathfrak{h})}$$

and the identity $\binom{a+b}{b} = \binom{a+b}{a}$ finishes the proof. □

We note that if a weight ω is given explicitly, it makes sense to compute the corresponding Sylvester denumerant directly.

Example 3.4.4. If $\mathfrak{f}$ is filiform, then we can find a decomposition $\mathfrak{p} \ltimes \mathfrak{m}$ of $\mathfrak{f}$ (cf. [22] and [11]) such that the number of standard monomials X of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m})$ satisfying $\omega_{(\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{m})}(X) = t$ is given by the usual partition function

$$p(t) \sim \frac{e^{\pi\sqrt{\frac{2t}{3}}}}{4t\sqrt{3}}.$$

4 Proof of the main results

Recall that $\mu(\mathfrak{g})$ is the minimal degree of a faithful representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ and that we wish to prove the inequality

$$\mu(\mathfrak{g}) \leq d - n + \binom{r+\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_1} \cdot \binom{r+\varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_2}.$$

Proof. (Theorem 1.0.1) Let $\iota : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{p} \ltimes \mathfrak{m}$ be the embedding of proposition 2.2.3. We may decompose $\mathfrak{p} \ltimes \mathfrak{m}$ as in remark 2.2.4: $\mathfrak{p}_0 \oplus (\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}_0 \ltimes \mathfrak{m})$. Since the μ-invariant is monotone and sub-additive (cf. [11]), we obtain the upper bound

$$\mu(\mathfrak{g}) \leq \mu(\mathfrak{p} \ltimes \mathfrak{m}) \leq \mu(\mathfrak{p}_0) + \mu(\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}_0 \ltimes \mathfrak{m}).$$

Since $\mathfrak{p}$ acts reductively on itself, it is itself reductive and its reductive ideal $\mathfrak{p}_0$ satisfies $\mu(\mathfrak{p}_0) \leq \dim(\mathfrak{p}_0) \leq \dim(\mathfrak{p})$, [11]. Proposition 2.2.3 gives $\dim(\mathfrak{p}) \leq \dim(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n})$, so that $\mu(\mathfrak{p}_0) \leq d - n$.

Proposition 2.2.3 guarantees that $\iota(\mathfrak{h})$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}_0 \ltimes \mathfrak{m}$ of codimension $\dim(\mathfrak{r}/\mathfrak{h})$ in $\mathfrak{m}$. The proposition also gives $\dim(\mathfrak{m}) = \dim(\mathfrak{r})$. Since $\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}_0$ acts faithfully on $\mathfrak{m}$, we may apply propositions 3.4.1 and 3.4.3 to conclude that $\mu(\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}_0 \ltimes \mathfrak{m}) \leq \binom{r+\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_1} \cdot \binom{r+\varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_2}$. This finishes the proof. □

Note that we obtain an upper bound for $\mu(\mathfrak{g})$ that is a polynomial in d of degree $\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$.

Proof. (Corollary 1.0.2) It suffices to make the following two observations. For natural ε_1 and ε_2 we have the inequality $\lfloor \frac{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2}{2} \rfloor ! \cdot \lceil \frac{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2}{2} \rceil ! \leq \varepsilon_1 ! \cdot \varepsilon_2 !$. Similarly: $(d+\varepsilon_1)!/d! \cdot (d+\varepsilon_2)!/d! \leq (d+\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2)!/d!$. □

5 Application: representations of graded Lie algebras

A well-known theorem by Jacobson, on weakly closed sets of nilpotent operators, states that a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is nilpotent if it admits a regular derivation, [14]. (For Lie algebras admitting such a transformation, it is known that $\mu(\mathfrak{g}) = O(\dim(\mathfrak{g}))$.) The theorem was later generalized and refined in many different ways, see for example [17], [12], and [15].

Theorem 5.0.1 (Khukhro-Makarenko-Shumyatsky – [15])**.** *There exist functions $f : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $g : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ for which the following is true. Consider Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \oplus_{u \in A} \mathfrak{g}_u$ graded by a finitely-generated, torsion-free group $(A, +)$. Let σ be the cardinality of the support and let δ be the dimension of the trivial component $\mathfrak{g}_0$. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ admits a nilpotent ideal $\mathfrak{i}$ satisfying $\dim(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}) \leq f(\sigma)$ and $c(\mathfrak{i}) \leq g(\sigma, \delta)$.*

Proof. (Corollary 1.0.3) The nil-defect of $\mathfrak{g}$ is bounded from above by $\varepsilon(\mathrm{rad}(\mathfrak{g}), \mathfrak{i}) \leq E(\sigma, \delta) := f(\sigma, \delta) + g(\sigma)$. Note that the functions f and g may grow very quickly as σ and δ increase. □

Aknowledgements

The author would like to thank his host E. Zel'manov, N. Wallach, E. Khukhro, and G. Glauberman for helpful disucssions.

References

[1] I. Ado, *The representation of Lie algebras by matrices.* Amer. Math. Soc. Translation 1949, no. 2, 21 pp.

[2] J. L. Alperin and G. Glauberman: *Limits of abelian subgroups of finite p-groups.* J. Algebra 203 (1998), no. 2, 533566.

[3] L. Auslander and J. Brezin: *Almost algebraic Lie algebras.* J. Algebra 8 1968 295313.

[4] Y. Benoist: *Une nilvariété non affine.* J. Differential Geom. 41 (1995), no. 1, 2152.

[5] G. Birkhoff, *Representability of Lie algebras and Lie groups by matrices.* Ann. Math. 38 (1937), 562-532.

[6] D. Burde: *On a refinement of Ado's theorem.* Arch. Math. (Basel) 70 (1998), no. 2, 118127.

[7] D. Burde, M. Ceballos: *Abelian ideals of maximal dimension for solvable Lie algebras* J. Lie Theory 22 (2012), no. 3, 741756.

[8] D. Burde, B. Eick and W. de Graaf, *Computing faithful representations for nilpotent Lie algebras*, J. Algebra 322 (2009), no. 3, 602612.

[9] D. Burde and F. Grunewald, *Modules for Lie algebras of maximal class.* J. Pure Appl. Algebra 99 (1995), 239-254.

[10] D. Burde and W. Moens: *Minimal faithful representations of reductive Lie algebras.* Arch. Math. (Basel) 89 (2007), no. 6, 513523.

[11] D. Burde and W. A. Moens: *Faithful Lie algebra modules and quotients of the universal enveloping algebra.* J. Algebra 325 (2011), 440-460.

[12] D. Burde and W. A. Moens: *Periodic derivations and prederivations of Lie algebras* J. Algebra 357 (2012), 208221.

[13] W. A. de Graaf: *Constructing faithful matrix representations of Lie algebras.* Proceedings of the 1997 International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation (Kihei, HI), 54-59 (electronic), ACM, New York, (1997).

[14] N. Jacobson: *A note on automorphisms of Lie algebras.* Pacific J. Math. 12 1962 303315.

[15] E. I. Khukhro, N. Makarenko and P. Shumyatsky: *Nilpotent ideals in graded Lie algebras and almost constant-free derivations* Comm. Algebra 36 (2008), no. 5, 18691882.

[16] B. Kostant: *Eigenvalues of the Laplacian and commutative Lie subalgebras.* Topology 3 1965 suppl. 2, 147159.

[17] A. I. Kostrikin and M. I. Kuznetsov: *Two remarks on Lie algebras with non-degenerate derivation.* Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. 208 (1995), Teor. Chisel, Algebra i Algebr. Geom., 186192.

[18] A. I. Malcev: *Solvable Lie algebras.* Amer. Math. Soc. Translation 1950, (1950). no. 27, 36 pp.

[19] J. Milnor: *On fundamental groups of complete affinely flat manifolds.* Advances in Math. 25 (1977), no. 2, 178187.

[20] Y. Neretin *A construction of finite-dimensional faithful representation of Lie algebra.* Proceedings of the 22nd Winter School "Geometry and Physics" (Srní, 2002). Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl. No. 71 (2003), 159161.

[21] L. Onishchik and E. B. Vinberg: *.Lie groups and Lie algebras, III. Structure of Lie groups and Lie algebras.* A translation of Current problems in mathematics. Fundamental directions. Vol. 41 (Russian), Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, 1990 [MR1056485 (91b:22001)]. Translation by V. Minachin [V. V. Minakhin]. Translation edited by A. L. Onishchik and . B. Vinberg. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, 41. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994. iv+248 pp. ISBN: 3-540-54683-9

[22] M. Vergne *Réductibilité de la variété des algébres de Lie nilpotentes.* (French) C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B 263 1966 A4A6.